

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA719608**

Filing date: **01/11/2016**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91225379
Party	Defendant America Directo Corp.
Correspondence Address	Matthew H. Swyers The Trademark Company 344 Maple Ave. W. Suite 151 Vienna, VA 22180 mswyers@thetrademarkcompany.com
Submission	Answer
Filer's Name	Matthew H. Swyers, Esq.
Filer's e-mail	mswyers@thetrademarkcompany.com
Signature	/Matthew H. Swyers/
Date	01/11/2016
Attachments	Answer.pdf(169667 bytes)

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
The Trademark Trial and Appeal Board**

Serial No. 86/391,585
For the mark OPEN TV

OpenTV, Inc.,

Opposer,

vs.

America Directo Corp.,

Applicant.

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

Opposition No. 91225379

ANSWER AND GROUNDS OF DEFENSE

COMES NOW the Applicant, America Directo Corp. (hereinafter “Applicant”), by and through counsel, The Trademark Company, PLLC, and files its Answer and Grounds of Defense to the Notice of Opposition and in response to Opposer’s allegations states as follows:

ANSWER

Applicant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in the first Introductory Paragraph of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies the same. In response to the specifically enumerated paragraphs of the Notice of Opposition, Applicant responds as follows:

1. Applicant is without knowledge of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 1 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies the same.
2. Applicant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 2 of the Notice of Opposition.
3. Applicant is without knowledge of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 3 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies the same.
4. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 4 of the Notice of Opposition as phrased and demands strict proof thereof.

5. There are no allegations to respond to in Paragraph 5 of the Opposer's Notice of Opposition. As such, Applicant incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1-4 of the Opposer's Notice of Opposition as stated hereinabove.

6. Applicant is without knowledge of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 6 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies the same.

7. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 7 of the Notice of Opposition as phrased and demands strict proof thereof.

8. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 8 of the Notice of Opposition as phrased and demands strict proof thereof.

9. Applicant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 9 of the Notice of Opposition.

10. Applicant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 10 of the Notice of Opposition.

11. Applicant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 11 of the Notice of Opposition.

12. Applicant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 12 of the Notice of Opposition.

13. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 13 of the Notice of Opposition and demands strict proof thereof.

14. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 14 of the Notice of Opposition and demands strict proof thereof.

15. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 15 of the Notice of Opposition and demands strict proof thereof.

16. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 16 of the Notice of Opposition and demands strict proof thereof.

17. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 17 of the Notice of Opposition as phrased and demands strict proof thereof.

18. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 18 of the Notice of Opposition and demands strict proof thereof.

19. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 19 of the Notice of Opposition and demands strict proof thereof.

20. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 20 of the Notice of Opposition and demands strict proof thereof.

21. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 21 of the Notice of Opposition and demands strict proof thereof.

22. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 22 of the Notice of Opposition and demands strict proof thereof.

Applicant further denies all allegations not specifically, actually or constructively, admitted in the foregoing paragraphs of this Answer and Grounds of Defense.

WHEREFORE, Applicant prays that the Notice of Opposition be dismissed.

Respectfully submitted this 11th day of January, 2016.

THE TRADEMARK COMPANY, PLLC

/Matthew H. Swyers/

Matthew H. Swyers, Esq.

344 Maple Avenue West, Suite 151

Vienna, VA 22180

Tel. (800) 906-8626

Facsimile (270) 477-4574

mswyers@TheTrademarkCompany.com

Counsel for Applicant

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
The Trademark Trial and Appeal Board**

Serial No. 86/391,585
For the mark OPEN TV

OpenTV, Inc.,

Opposer,

vs.

America Directo Corp.,

Applicant.

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

Opposition No. 91225379

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I caused a copy of the foregoing this 11th day of January, 2016 to be served, via first class mail, postage prepaid, upon:

Alexander J.A. Garcia
Perkins Coie LLP
1201 Third Ave, STE 4900
Seattle, WA 98101

/Matthew H. Swyers/
Matthew H. Swyers